

# OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **Tom Pond, Warner**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations.

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling the pond this year! Your monitoring group sampled the deep spot **three** times this year and has done so for many years! As you know, conducting multiple sampling events each year enables DES to more accurately detect water quality changes. Keep up the good work!

We encourage your monitoring group to formally participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic aquatic plants. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers survey their waterbody once a month from **May** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watcher kit, volunteers look for any species that are suspicious. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers immediately send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic species, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plant infestations, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers website at [www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm).

## FIGURE INTERPRETATION

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 in Appendix A shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum, and mean

concentration for each sampling year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Algae are typically microscopic plants that are naturally occurring in lake ecosystems and contain chlorophyll-a. The chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives biologists an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **increased continually** from **June** through **August**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2007** chlorophyll-a mean is **slightly greater than** the state median and the similar lake median. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has **not significantly changed** since monitoring began. Specifically, the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has **fluctuated between approximately 5.86 and 15.12 mg/m<sup>3</sup>**, but has **not continually increased or decreased** since **1987**. Please refer to Appendix E for a detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

While algae are naturally present in all ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

- **Figure 2 and Tables 3a and 3b:** Figure 2 in Appendix A shows the historical and current year data for transparency with and without the use of a viewscope. Table 3a in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data without the use of a viewscope and Table 3b lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data with the use of a viewscope for each year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into

the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the natural color of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the non-viewscope in-lake transparency **decreased continually** from **June** through **August**.

It is important to note that as the chlorophyll concentration **increased** at the deep spot as the summer progressed, the transparency **decreased**. We typically expect this **inverse** relationship in lakes. As the amount of algal cells in the water **increases**, the depth to which one can see into the water column typically **decreases** and vice versa.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2007** mean non-viewscope transparency is **slightly greater than** the state median and the similar lake median, and was the highest (deepest) mean transparency measured since monitoring began. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the viewscope in-lake transparency was **greater than** the non-viewscope transparency on the **June** sampling event. The transparency was **not** measured with the viewscope on the **July** or **August** sampling events. As discussed previously, a comparison of transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data are not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency with the use of a viewscope has not been historically measured by DES. At some point in the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual in-lake non-viewscope transparency has **not significantly changed** (either *increased* or *decreased*) since monitoring began. Specifically, the in-lake transparency has remained **relatively stable, ranging between approximately 2.53 and 3.33 meters** since **1987**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment-laden stormwater runoff to flow into surface waters, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to best management practices that can be implemented to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, are available from DES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 in Appendix A show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 in Appendix B lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the pond has been sampled through VLAP.

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for vascular plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a lake/pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***remained stable*** from **June** to **July**, and then ***increased*** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the **2007** mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***approximately equal to*** the state median and is ***slightly less than*** the similar lake median. Refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***decreased slightly*** from **June** to **July**, and then ***increased*** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the **2007** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***slightly less than*** the state median the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) has ***not significantly changed*** since monitoring began. Specifically, the epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has ***fluctuated between***

**approximately 7.7 and 14.5 ug/L**, but has **not continually increased or decreased** since **1987**. Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) has **significantly decreased** (meaning **improved**) on average by **approximately 2.76 percent** per year during the sampling period **1987 to 2007**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out. We hope this improving trend continues!

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the watershed sources of phosphorus and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively affect the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

#### TABLE INTERPRETATION

➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 in Appendix B lists the current and historical phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the pond. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

The dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the **June** sample were ***Rhizosolenia* (Diatoms)**, ***Dinobryon* (Golden-Browns)**, and ***Synedra* (Diatoms)**.

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season. Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae populations are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 in Appendix B presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire’s

lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the state surface waters are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean pH at the deep spot this year ranged from **6.89** in the hypolimnion to **7.01** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is **approximately neutral**.

It is important to point out that the hypolimnetic (lower layer) pH was **lower (more acidic)** than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the pond bottom is likely due to the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the state’s abundance of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition received from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates, there is little that can be feasibly done to effectively increase pond pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **4.8 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least “moderately vulnerable” to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) was **11.3 mg/L**, which is **greater than** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the pond has a **low vulnerability** to acidic inputs.

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 in Appendix B presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **38.4 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the

“Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean annual epilimnetic conductivity at the deep spot this year was **125.03 uMhos/cm**, which is ***much greater than*** the state median.

The conductivity continued to remain ***greater than*** the state median in the pond and tributaries this year. Typically, elevated conductivity indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities. These sources include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff, which contains road salt during the spring snow-melt. New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could also contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and rain event sampling along the tributaries with ***elevated*** conductivity so that we can determine what may be causing the increases.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at [http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd\\_monit\\_oring.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monit_oring.pdf), or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

We also recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake and the tributaries with ***elevated*** conductivity to help identify the sources of conductivity.

*To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at [www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2004/documents/Appendix\\_D.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2004/documents/Appendix_D.pdf) or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the pond. The most commonly used de-icing material in New Hampshire is salt (sodium chloride).

Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** and the **tributaries** be sampled for chloride next year. This additional sampling may help us identify what areas of the watershed are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

*Please note that the DES Limnology Center in Concord will be able to*

*conduct chloride analyses, free of charge, beginning in 2008. As a reminder, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.*

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae's ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The total phosphorus concentration in the **Inlet** was **elevated (54 and 87 ug/L)** on the **June and July** sampling events. The turbidity of the sample was also **elevated (8.37 and 19.8 NTUs)**, which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that erosion is occurring in the watershed.

When the stream bottom is disturbed, phosphorus rich sediment is released into the water column. When collecting tributary samples, please be sure to sample where the tributary is flowing and where the stream is deep enough to collect a "clean" sample free from organic debris and sediment.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and rain event sampling along this tributary. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity and phosphorus.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at [http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd\\_monitoring.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monitoring.pdf), or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

A shoreline conductivity survey was conducted in 2007. The results indicated no potential "hot spots" or areas of concern along the pond shoreline. This is great news! As mentioned previously, we recommend conducting rain event sampling along tributaries with elevated conductivity levels.

➤ **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**

Table 9 in Appendix B shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) collected during **2007**. Table 10 in Appendix B shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of sufficient amounts of



dissolved oxygen in the water column is vital to fish and amphibians and bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **high** at all deep spot depths sampled in the pond on the sampling event. Typically, shallow lakes and ponds that are not deep enough to stratify into more than one or two thermal layers will have relatively high amounts of oxygen at all depths. This is due to continual lake mixing and diffusion of oxygen into the bottom waters induced by wind and wave action.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The turbidity in the **Inlet** samples was **elevated (8.37, 19.8 and 22.3 NTUs)** on each sampling event, which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed. When the stream bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting samples in the tributaries, please be sure to sample where the stream is flowing and where the stream is deep enough to collect a “clean” sample free from debris and sediment.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and rain event sampling along this tributary. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at [http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd\\_monit\\_oring.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monit_oring.pdf), or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

➤ **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

Table 12 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its

presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present.

The *E. coli* concentration was **low** at the **Hamilton, Harte and Outlet** stations sampled on the **7/30/3007** sampling event. Specifically, each result was **10 counts or less**, which is ***much less than*** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational surface waters that are not designated public beaches and 88 counts per 100 mL for surface waters that are designated public beaches.

The **Inlet** and **Harte** *E. coli* concentrations were ***slightly elevated*** on the **7/30/3007** and **8/31/2007** sampling events. However, the concentrations of **260** and **160** counts per 100 mL ***was not greater than*** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational waters that are not designated public beaches.

If you are concerned about *E. coli* levels at this station, your monitoring group should conduct rain event sampling and bracket sampling in this area to help us determine the bacteria source.

The **Inlet** *E. coli* concentration was ***elevated*** on the **8/31/2007** sampling event. The concentration of **> 2000** counts per 100 mL ***was much greater than*** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational waters that are not designated public beaches.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct rain event sampling and bracket sampling in this area next year to help us determine the bacteria source.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at [http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd\\_monitoring.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monitoring.pdf), or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

➤ **Table 13: Chloride**

Table 13 in Appendix B lists the current year and the historical data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl<sup>-</sup>) is found naturally in some surfacewaters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted

highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

Chloride sampling was **not** conducted during **2007**.

➤ **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**

Table 14 in Appendix B lists the most current sampling year results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year “raw,” meaning unprocessed, data. The results are sorted by station, depth, and then parameter.

➤ **Table 15: Station Table**

As of the spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past and are most familiar with, an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. Table 15 in Appendix B identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

## **DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL**

### **Annual Assessment Audit:**

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a sampling procedures assessment audit for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group and completed an assessment audit sheet to document the volunteer monitors’ ability to follow the proper field sampling procedures, as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual. This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors failed to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and

there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

### **Sample Receipt Checklist:**

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if your group followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did an **excellent** job when collecting samples and submitting them to the laboratory this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the laboratory staff to contact your group with questions, and no samples were rejected for analysis.

### **USEFUL RESOURCES**

*Acid Deposition Impacting New Hampshire's Ecosystems*, DES fact sheet ARD-32, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm).

*Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials*, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

*Best Management Practices for Well Drilling Operations*, DES fact sheet WD-WSEB-21-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ws/ws-21-4.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ws/ws-21-4.htm).

*Biodegradable Soaps and Water Quality*, DES fact sheet BB-54, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-54.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-54.htm).

*Canada Geese Facts and Management Options*, DES fact sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm).

*Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms*, DES fact sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm).

*Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm).

*Freshwater Jellyfish In New Hampshire*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-5, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-51/htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-51/htm).

*Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff*, DES fact sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm).

*IPM: An Alternative to Pesticides*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-3, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-3.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-3.htm).

*Iron Bacteria in Surface Water*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-18, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-18.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-18.htm).

*Lake Foam*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-5.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-5.htm).

*Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm).

*Low Impact Development Hydrologic Analysis*. Manual prepared by Prince George's County, Maryland, Department of Environmental Resources. July 1999. To access this document, visit [www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid\\_hydr.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid_hydr.pdf) or call the EPA Water Resource Center at (202) 566-1736.

*Low Impact Development: Taking Steps to Protect New Hampshire's Surface Waters*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm).

*Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm).

*Road Salt and Water Quality*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm).

*Sand Dumping - Beach Construction*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-15, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm).

*Shorelands Under the Jurisdiction of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act*, DES fact sheet SP-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm).

*Soil Erosion and Sediment Control on Construction Sites*, DES fact sheet WQE-6, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm).

*Swimmers Itch*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-2, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-2.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-2.htm).

*Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants*, North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or [www.nalms.org](http://www.nalms.org).

*Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm).

*Watershed Districts and Ordinances*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-16.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-16.htm).